# STEERING COMMITTEE for the DATA MANAGEMENT WORKGROUP (DMWG)



Tony Hale, PhD
San Francisco Estuary Institute – Aguaric Science Center
Gary Darling
Department of Water Resources
Workgroup Co-Chairs
September 9, 2016

#### MEETING AGENDA

- 1. Introductions, announcements, and agenda review
- 2. Describe the Data Management Workgroup (DMWG): its background, mission, and purpose
- 3. Review initial goals of the Steering Committee
- 4. Share your objectives, technology related initiatives, and wishes for this committee
- 5. Review white paper recommendations
- 6. Review fact sheets as presented by representatives from the DMWG
- 7. Discuss process to assign roles and develop a workplan for the Steering Committee

#### PURPOSE FOR THE DMWG

- Serve as a coordinating and information resource for other workgroups
- Advance data and information sharing among resource agencies
- Coordinate information technology-related efforts and opportunities

#### TIMELINE

- Jun 2014 Data Summit convened to spearhead greater data sharing
- Nov 2014 CWQMC endorses the Vision Document, still in draft form
- Sept 2015 "Enhancing the Vision" published
- Feb 2016 CWQMC endorses the formation of a steering committee for the Data Management Workgroup (DMWG) to facilitate implementation of the white paper recommendations
- Sept 2016 First meeting scheduled



## STEERING COMMITTEE GOALS

- Initial meeting goals
  - Establish common understanding of key concepts
  - Share goals and project updates
  - Align efforts where possible
- Broader Steering Committee goals
  - Develop implementation plan for the recommendations in the white paper
  - Guide activities of the DMWG
  - Promote optimization of data sharing among state agencies



#### REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS

- Establish forms of data governance oversight
- Develop a data federation strategy with a specific, time-bound roadmap. This effort must complement the work of the data standards implementation.
- Develop a business case and adopt a funding strategy in service of a sustainable business model, optimizing cost-benefit for the public good.
- Embrace data of differing quality, resolution, and sources, provided that these attributes are documented according to standards.
- The State should devise a strategy for cultivating a common set of visualization tools
- Adopt open-source software experimentally where appropriate
- Adopt a policy or standard to govern data management plans
- Investigate opportunities for supercomputer, cloud computing, and massive distributed computing projects.

# **DETERMINE ROLES**

#### **NEXT STEPS**

- Prioritize Recommendations
- Review/Revise materials
- Review/Revise charter
- Draft implementation plan outline
- Develop an MOU to share key "secure" datasets among agencies

## TIMELINE

- Scheduling a meeting
- Anticipated progress



## Tony Hale, PhD

San Francisco Estuary Institute – Aquatic Science Center

tonyh@sfei.org

THANK YOU